HOW METHODOLOGY DETERMINES WHAT IS CRITICAL



June 19, 2018

Resources for Future Generations Conference, Vancouver



US National Research Council 2008

Rod Eggert Colorado School of Mines & Critical Materials Institute



Committee

itc 🔅

- Rod Eggert, chair, Colorado Brig Moudgil, University of School of Mines Florida
- Ann Carpenter, US Gold
- Stephen Freiman, Freiman Consulting
- Tom Graedel, Yale
- Drew Meyer, Vulcan Materials
- Terence McNulty, McNulty & Associates

- Mary Poulton, University of Arizona
- Leonard Surges, Natural Resources Canada
- Elizabeth Eide, NRC staff

Goal and Scope



- Identify & review nonfuel minerals "critical" for the <u>domestic economy</u> and <u>emerging</u> <u>technologies</u>
- Etc.



Source: National Research Council 2008

Factor explanation Horizontal Axis



Short to medium term (up to a decade)

- Lack of production diversity, demand shocks in small markets, co-production, recycling
- Long term (more than a decade)
 - Investments in R&D and education throughout the material supply chain (exploration → recycling)

Factor explanation Vertical Axis



• Focus on:

- Size of end-use sectors
- Substitutability

A struggle

• How to score the vertical axis?

Aggregation



- Horizontal axis
 - Subjective
- Vertical axis
 - Weighted by size of end-use sector

Results



- Not a definitive list
- Rather a preliminary evaluation of 11 materials or families of materials
 - Short to medium term



Source: National Research Council 2008

Unique features



- A framework capable of being adapted and customized to particular circumstances
- Emphasis on:
 - Dynamic nature of criticality
 - Importance of defining the time frame (short, medium, long terms)
- Warning: *import dependence* and *reserves* are potentially (very) misleading indicators of supply risk

Limitations



- Subjective scoring, based on quantitative inputs
- How to score the vertical axis?
- Under-appreciation of *supply-chain* risks

Questions?

